

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 116, 222-229 (1986)

Characterizations of Almost Periodic Strongly Continuous Cosine Operator Functions

IOANA CIORANESCU

*Fachbereich 17 Mathematik der Universität Paderborn,
Warburgerstr. 100, 4790 Paderborn, West Germany*

Submitted by Ky Fan

We characterize in terms of the infinitesimal generator those cosine operator functions which are almost periodic or uniformly almost periodic. © 1986 Academic Press, Inc.

Throughout this work $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a strongly continuous operator function on the Banach space \mathbf{X} with infinitesimal generator A . $\mathcal{N}(A)$ denotes the kernel of A and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ its range; $D(A)$ is the domain of A , $\mathcal{R}(\cdot; A)$ its resolvent function and $\sigma(A)$ the spectrum.

DEFINITION. A strongly continuous cosine operator function $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is almost periodic, in short a.p., if for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ the function $t \rightarrow C_t x$ from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{X} is a.p.

$\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is weakly almost periodic, in short w.a.p., if for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $x^* \in \mathbf{X}^*$, the function $t \rightarrow \langle x^*, C_t x \rangle$ from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{C} is a.

$\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is uniformly almost periodic, in short u.a.p., if the function $t \rightarrow C_t$ from \mathbf{R} to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X})$ is a.p.

Note that $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is u.a.p. if and only if the family of \mathbf{X} -valued functions $\{C_t x\}_{\|x\| \leq 1}$ is u.a.p.

Almost periodic and weakly almost periodic \mathbf{X} -valued functions were treated in [1, 3]; u.a.p. scalar functions are considered in [8] and \mathbf{X} -valued u.a.p. scalar functions are studied in [2, 10]. Characterizations of a.p., w.a.p. and u.a.p. groups and semi-groups of operators on Banach spaces are obtained by Bart and Goldberg in [2].

Our main result is:

THEOREM 1. *A strongly continuous cosine operator function $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is almost periodic if and only if $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is uniformly bounded and the set of eigenvectors of its infinitesimal generator A is total in \mathbf{X} .*

Proof. Let us first prove that the above conditions imply that $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a.p.

Recall first that the uniformly boundedness of $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ implies that $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbf{R}$ (see [6, Satz 12] or [9, Theorem 3]).

For each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist by assumption, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , eigenvectors of A , corresponding to eigenvalues $-\lambda_1^2, -\lambda_2^2, \dots, -\lambda_n^2$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that

$$\left\| x - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for some } \alpha_j \in \mathbf{C}, 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Note further that $C_t x_j = (\cos \lambda_j t) x_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and hence:

$$\left\| C_t x - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j C_t x_j \right\| = \left\| C_t x - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\cos \lambda_j t) x_j \right\| \leq M,$$

where $M = \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \|C_t\|$.

Thus, for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $t \rightarrow C_t x$ is the uniform limit on \mathbf{R} of continuous \mathbf{X} -valued a.p. functions and therefore is a.p.

Suppose now that $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is u.a.p.

From the fact that an a.p. function is bounded and with the uniform boundedness principle it follows that $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is uniformly bounded.

As $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a.p., for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, the "Fourier coefficient"

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda x &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t e^{-i\lambda s} C_s x \, ds \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \cos \lambda s C_s x \, ds \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

exists.

As $\|1/t \int_0^t \cos \lambda s \cdot C_s x \, ds\| \leq M \|x\|$, for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $t > 0$, it is clear that $P_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X})$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$.

For $\lambda, \tau \in \mathbf{R}$, $x \in \mathbf{X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda C_\tau x &= C_\tau P_\lambda x = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4t} \left[\int_{-t}^t e^{-i\lambda s} (C_{s+\tau} x + C_{s-\tau} x) \, ds \right] \\ &= e^{i\lambda \tau} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4t} \int_{-t+\tau}^{t+\tau} e^{-i\lambda u} C_u x \, du \\ &\quad + e^{-i\lambda \tau} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4t} \int_{-t-\tau}^{t-\tau} e^{-i\lambda u} C_u x \, du \\ &= \frac{e^{i\lambda \tau} + e^{-i\lambda \tau}}{2} \cdot P_\lambda x = \cos \lambda \tau \cdot P_\lambda x, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$P_\lambda C_\tau x = C_\tau P_\lambda x = \cos \lambda \tau \cdot P_\lambda x, \quad x \in \mathbf{X}, \lambda, \tau \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (2)$$

But this implies

$$P_\lambda^2 x = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \cos \lambda \tau C_\tau P_\lambda x \, d\tau = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \cos^2 \lambda \tau \, d\tau \right) P_\lambda x,$$

and hence

$$P_\lambda^2 = \begin{cases} P_\lambda & \text{for } \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} P_\lambda & \text{for } \lambda \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

By [11, (2.12)] (see also [12, Proposition 2.3]), the operator \tilde{A} defined by $\tilde{A}x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (2/t^2)(C_t x - x)$, with domain those $x \in \mathbf{X}$ for which this limit exists, is the infinitesimal generator of $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$.

Then, using (2), we get, for $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{t^2} (C_t - I) P_\lambda x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} 2 \frac{(\cos \lambda t - 1)}{t^2} P_\lambda x = -\lambda^2 P_\lambda x.$$

This implies that $P_\lambda x \in D(A)$ and that

$$(A + \lambda^2) P_\lambda x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{X}, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (4)$$

Suppose now that the set \mathbf{E} of eigenvectors of A is not total in \mathbf{X} ; then there exist $x^* \in \mathbf{X}^*$, $x^* \neq 0$, such that $\langle x^*, x \rangle = 0$, for each $x \in \mathbf{E}$.

But for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $P_\lambda x$ is either 0 or in \mathbf{E} ; in both cases $\langle x^*, P_\lambda x \rangle = 0$ and this implies that the a.p. scalar function $t \rightarrow \langle x^*, C_t x \rangle$ vanishes, because all its "Fourier coefficients" are zero. In particular, for $t = 0$, we get $\langle x^*, C_0 x \rangle = \langle x^*, x \rangle = 0$.

Since x is arbitrary in \mathbf{X} , this implies $x^* = 0$, a contradiction. ■

Let us recall the following spectral characterization of the generators of uniformly bounded cosine operator functions, which is to be found in [11] or in [5]:

The closed and densely defined operator A is the infinitesimal generator of strongly continuous operator cosine function with $\|C_t\| \leq M$, $\forall t \in \mathbf{R}$, iff for all $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, $z^2 \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ and $\|d^n/dz^n [zR(z^2, A)]\| \leq M \cdot n! / (\operatorname{Re} z)^{n+1}$.

Thus we actually obtained with the above theorem a characterization of the almost periodicity of a cosine operator function solely in terms of the spectral properties of A .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ be a.p. and suppose that for $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $-\lambda^2$ is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$; then it is a simple pole for the resolvent $R(\cdot; A)$ with the residue M_λ , where $M_0 = P_0$ and $M_\lambda = 2P_\lambda$, for $\lambda \neq 0$ (P_λ are given by (1)).*

Proof. By (3) it is clear that M_λ are projections, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(A + \lambda^2 I) = \mathcal{R}(P_\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (5)$$

In fact, the inclusion $\mathcal{R}(P_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{N}(A + \lambda^2)$ is given by (4).

In order to prove the converse inclusion, let us recall that in [9, Lemma 4], the following relation was shown:

$$AS(s; a)x = a^2 S(s; a)x + a(C_s - \operatorname{ch} as)x, \quad x \in \mathbf{X}, a \in \mathbf{C}$$

where $S(s; a) = \int_0^s \operatorname{sh} a(s-t) C_t x \, dt$. Taking $a = i\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we obtain

$$(C_s - \cos \lambda s)x = \frac{1}{i\lambda} (A + \lambda^2) S(s; i\lambda). \quad (6)$$

For $\lambda = 0$, by [11, (2.14)] we have

$$(C_s - I)x = A \int_0^s (s-t) C_t x \, dt. \quad (7)$$

Let $x \in \mathcal{N}(A + \lambda^2)$; then, from (6) and (7) we obtain

$$C_s x = (\cos \lambda s)x, \text{ for each } \lambda \in \mathbf{R}.$$

But now it follows

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda x &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \cos \lambda s C_s x \, ds \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (\cos^2 \lambda s) x \, ds = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}x & \text{for } \lambda \neq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

such that $x \in \mathcal{R}(P_\lambda)$ and thus (5) is proved.

Let us further remark that as consequence of (2), we also have

$$\mathcal{R}(A + \lambda^2 I) \subset \mathcal{N}(P_\lambda) \quad (8)$$

(indeed, $P_\lambda Ax = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} 2P_\lambda((C_t - I)/t^2)x = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} 2((\cos \lambda t - 1)/\lambda^2) P_\lambda x = -\lambda^2 P_\lambda x, \forall x \in D(A)$).

Because of the relations (5) and (8) we can now follow the argument used in [2, Theorem 10] to prove a similar result for a.p. groups; we give the proof for completeness.

Let $-\lambda^2$ an isolated point in $\sigma(A)$ and P the spectral projection associated with A and $-\lambda^2$, then $X = \mathcal{N}(P) \oplus \mathcal{R}(P)$ and A and $C_t, t \in \mathbf{R}$, are completely reduced by the above decomposition, that is $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ and $C_t = C_t^0 \oplus C_t^1, \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $-\lambda^2 \notin \sigma(A_0)$ and $\sigma(A_1) = \{-\lambda^2\}$. As $\{C_t^1\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a.p. with generator A_1 in $\mathcal{R}(P)$, by Theorem 1, the set of eigenvectors of A_1 is total in $\mathcal{R}(P)$, that is, $\mathcal{N}(A_1 + \lambda^2 I) = \mathcal{R}(P)$, i.e., $A_1 = -\lambda^2 I|_{\mathcal{R}(P)}$.

Thus $R(\cdot; A_1)$ has a simple pole at $-\lambda^2$ and this implies that also $R(\cdot; A) = R(\cdot; A_0) \oplus R(\cdot; A_1)$ has a simple pole at $-\lambda^2$.

To prove that $P = M_\lambda$, observe that by (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(P_\lambda) &= \mathcal{N}(A + \lambda^2 I) = \mathcal{N}(A_0 + \lambda^2 I) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A_1 + \lambda^2 I) \\ &= \{0\} \oplus \mathcal{R}(P) = \mathcal{R}(P).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(A + \lambda^2 I) &= \mathcal{R}(A_0 + \lambda^2 I) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A_1 + \lambda^2 I) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(P) \oplus \{0\} = \mathcal{N}(P)\end{aligned}$$

such that (8) gives $\mathcal{N}(P) \subset \mathcal{N}(P_\lambda)$.

We obtained $\mathcal{R}(M_\lambda) = \mathcal{R}(P)$ and $\mathcal{N}(P) \subset \mathcal{N}(M_\lambda)$ and this implies $M_\lambda = P$ ■

PROPOSITION 3. *If X is weakly sequentially complete, then each w.a.p. cosine operator function is a.p.*

Proof. Since each w.a.p. X -valued function is bounded, the uniform boundedness of the cosine function $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ results again with the uniform boundedness principle.

To prove that $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a.p. it suffices, by Theorem 1, to prove that the set E of eigenvectors of A is total in X .

Since X is weakly sequentially complete, for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$, there is $y_{x,\lambda} \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle x^*, \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \cos \lambda s C_s x \, ds \right\rangle = \langle x^*, y_{x,\lambda} \rangle.$$

Then, as before in the proof of (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle x^*, C_\tau y_{x,\lambda} \rangle &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle x^*, \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t e^{-i\lambda s} C_s C_\tau x \, ds \right\rangle \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle x^*, e^{i\lambda\tau/4t} \int_{-t+\tau}^{t+\tau} e^{-i\lambda u} C_u x \, du \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + e^{-i\lambda\tau/4t} \int_{-t-\tau}^{t-\tau} e^{-i\lambda u} C_u x \, du \right\rangle \\
 &= \langle x^*, \cos \lambda\tau y_{x,\lambda} \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$C_\tau y_{x,\lambda} = \cos \lambda\tau y_{x,\lambda}.$$

But this implies again that $y_{x,\lambda} \in D(A)$ and $(A + \lambda^2) y_{x,\lambda} = 0$. We proceed further as in the proof of Theorem 1 with $y_{x,\lambda}$ at the place of $P_\lambda x$ and we obtain that E is total in X . ■

Remark. If X is a Hilbert space, then w.a.p. for a cosine operator function is equivalent to the a.p. and Theorem 5.1 from [4] gives us the structure of an a.p. cosine function, namely $C_t = \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} \cos \lambda t \cdot M_\lambda$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

We want, finally, to characterize the u.a.p. cosine operator functions and to this purpose we recall the following:

DEFINITION. A subset $A \subset \mathbf{R}$ is called harmonious if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $D_\varepsilon = \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} \{t; |e^{i\lambda t} - 1| \leq \varepsilon\}$ is relatively dense in \mathbf{R} , in the sense that there exists $l_\varepsilon > 0$ such that every interval of length l_ε meets D_ε .

For properties of harmonious sets and their role in the uniform almost periodicity of families of functions, see [8]. We have:

PROPOSITION 4. A cosine operator function $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is u.a.p. iff it is a.p. and the set $A = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}; -\lambda^2 \in \sigma(A)\}$ is harmonious.

In this case $\sigma(A)$ consists only of simple poles of $R(\lambda; A)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is u.a.p.; then, in particular, it is a.p. Let $\varepsilon > 0$; there exists, by assumption, a relatively dense subset $J_\varepsilon \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that for $t \in J_\varepsilon$, $\|C_t - I\| \leq \varepsilon$. Hence $\sigma(C_t) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}; |\lambda - 1| \leq \varepsilon\}$. By Theorem 3 in [9], we have: $\text{ch } \sqrt{\sigma(A)} \subset \sigma(C_t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that follows:

$$|\cos \lambda t - 1| = |\text{ch } i t \lambda - 1| \leq \varepsilon, \quad t \in J_\varepsilon, \lambda \in A.$$

But this implies that \mathcal{A} is harmonious. Indeed

$$|e^{i\lambda t} - 1|^2 = |e^{i\lambda t} + e^{-i\lambda t} - 2| \leq 2\varepsilon^2, \quad t \in J_\varepsilon, \lambda \in \mathcal{A},$$

that is,

$$|e^{i\lambda t} - 1| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in J_{\varepsilon^2/2} \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathcal{A}.$$

As $J_{\varepsilon^2/2}$ is a relatively dense subset of \mathbf{R} , the assertion results.

Suppose now that $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a.p. and that \mathcal{A} is harmonious. We proved in Theorem 1, that each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P_\lambda x = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1/2t) \int_{-t}^t e^{-i\lambda s} C_s dt \neq 0$ has the property to be an eigenvector to eigenvalue $-\lambda^2$. But this means that the Fourier spectrum of each function $t \rightarrow C_t x$, $x \in \mathbf{X}$ is in \mathcal{A} . As a family of uniformly bounded a.p. functions with their Fourier spectrum included in an harmonious set is u.a.p. (see [8, 2]) it follows that $\{C_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is u.a.p.

Finally, recall that if \mathcal{A} is harmonious, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the distance between two distinct elements in \mathcal{A} exceeds δ ([8]); thus $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ contains only isolated points and the last assertion follows from Proposition 2. ■

For completeness we mention the following result due to Lutz [7]:

A cosine operator function is periodic with period 2π iff the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset -\mathbf{N}_0^2$ and consists only of simple poles of $R(\lambda; \mathcal{A})$.
- (ii) The set of eigenvectors of \mathcal{A} is total in \mathbf{X} .

REFERENCES

1. M. AMERIO AND G. PROUSE, "Almost Periodic Functions and Functional Equations," Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, 1971.
2. H. BART AND S. GOLDBERG, Characterizations of almost periodic strongly continuous groups and semi-groups, *Math. Ann.* **236** (1978), 105-116.
3. C. CORDUNEANU, "Almost Periodic Functions," Interscience, New-York, 1968.
4. O. H. FATTORINI, Uniformly bounded cosine functions in Hilbert space, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **20** (1970), 411-425.
5. O. H. FATTORINI, Ordinary differential equations in linear topological spaces, I., *J. Differential Equations* **6** (1970), 50-70.
6. D. LUTZ, Über operatorwertige Lösungen der Funktionalgleichung des Cosinus, *Math. Z.* **171** (1980), 233-245.
7. D. LUTZ, Periodische operatorwertige Cosinusfunktionen, *Resultate Math.* **4** (1981), 75-83.
8. Y. MEYER, "Algebraic Numbers and Harmonic Analysis," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972.

9. B. NAGY, On cosine operator functions in Banach spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **36** (1974), 281–290.
10. W. M. RUESS AND W. H. SUMMERS, Compactness in spaces of vector valued continuous functions, preprint.
11. M. SOVA, Cosine operator functions, *Rozprawy Mat.* **49** (1966).
12. C. C. TRAVIS AND G. F. WEBB, Cosine families and abstract nonlinear second order differential equations, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **32** (3-4) (1978); 75–96.